

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,527	10/11/2005	Eberhard Schmid	ADV0001-US	9242
	90 02/26/200 NTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10500			IP, SIKYIN	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742 ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY I	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MONT		02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,527	SCHMID ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sikyin lp	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address			
• •	/ IC OFT TO EVENE A MONE	THE OP THE TY (20) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by ATE of the price	ION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No.	ovember 2006				
,— · _	action is non-final.				
,					
closed in accordance with the practice under E		•			
Disposition of Claims					
•	ation				
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> is/are:		cted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
A44 other out/ol					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	nil Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 2, line 3 and page 3, line 5 of instant disclosure rely on instant claims for support are found improper.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have amended the proportions of Sn, Zn, Mn, P, and Fe. But, applicants have failed to point out literal supports of said amendment. Thus, said amendment raises issue of new matter.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121; 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v); MPEP §714.02; and MPEP §2411.01(B).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,527

Art Unit: 1742

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 6346215 to Boegel et al.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over USP 5487867 to Singh.

Boegel discloses Cu based alloys containing Sn, Fe, Mn, Zn, and P (col. 5, lines 9-50) and the known uses of the Cu based alloys such as jewelry and clothing accessories (abstract) and eyeglass frame (col. 2, lines 1-21). Singh discloses Cu based alloys include Sn, Fe, Mn, Zn, and P (col. 3, lines 1-20). The claimed intermediate products have no structures which read on product as taught by cited references. As stated in In re Peterson, 315 F.3d 1325, 1329-30, 65 USPQ2d 1379,

Application/Control Number: 10/520,527

Art Unit: 1742

1382 (Fed. Cir. 2003), that "A <u>prima facie</u> case of obviousness typically exists when the ranges of a claimed composition overlap the ranges disclosed in the prior art".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of range, including the claimed range, from the broader range disclosed in a prior art reference because the prior art reference finds that the prior art composition in the entire disclosed range has a suitable utility. Also see MPEP § 2131.03 and § 2123.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 22, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Boegel fails to disclose "Fe 0.001 to 0.02 %". But, applicants' attention is directed to Boegel (col. 5, lines 21-23) that "Iron can be partially or completely replaced in this alloy composition with cobalt." So iron content in Boegel is from 0 to 5 wt.% which overlaps the claimed "0.001 to 0.02 wt.%."

Applicants' argument with respect to Ni is noted. But, nickel is merely an optional element in cited references (see Singh col. col. 4, lines 3-10, for example).

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1742

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Applicant is reminded that when amendment and/or revision is required, applicant should therefore specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.121; 37 C.F.R. Part §41.37 (c)(1)(v); MPEP §714.02; and MPEP §2411.01(B).

### **Examiner Correspondence**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Ip whose telephone number is (571) 272-1241. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 5:30 A.M. to 2:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Roy V. King, can be reached on (571)-272-1244.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIKYIN IP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1742

S. Ip

February 20, 2007